

## **Leveraging Knowledge Management to Enhance Library Services in the 21st Century Educational Environment: A Literature Review**

---

*Matuka Chipembele<sup>1</sup> and Martha Mulikita<sup>2</sup>*

Mukuba University<sup>1</sup>

ZESCO Training College<sup>2</sup>

chipembelem@mukuba.edu.zm/marthamulikita@gmail.com

### **Abstract**

In the rapidly evolving 21st-century educational landscape, academic and corporate libraries face a critical juncture, adapt or risk obsolescence. In a knowledge-driven society, these libraries must redefine their structures and management processes to maintain their competitive edge. Knowledge management (KM), originally designed for corporate settings, is increasingly recognized for its potential to transform libraries from traditional repositories into dynamic hubs that actively create, disseminate, and utilize information within the knowledge society. This paper reviews relevant literature to establish a foundation for understanding the current influence of KM on academic and corporate libraries, with specific examples from Zambia. It explores how KM practices can enhance library management, services, and user satisfaction. The potential shift from conventional information storage to proactive information curation is highlighted, positioning libraries as key contributors to the continuous flow of knowledge in our interconnected world. The paper argues that by integrating KM as their operational model, libraries can transform into enablers and advocates within the knowledge economy.

**Keywords:** *Knowledge management, Library services, User satisfaction, 21st century learner, Information retrieval, Learning technologies, Academic libraries, Information access, Knowledge economy*

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In the contemporary landscape, the significance of knowledge management (KM) has been widely acknowledged as a critical asset for organizations aiming to stay competitive in rapidly evolving societies. Scholarly discourse reflects a surge in literature addressing the evolving concept of KM, driven by the global shift towards knowledge-based economies (Dalkir, 2013; Firestone & McElroy, 2012). Despite being relatively new in library and information science, KM found early support from librarian and mathematician Shiyali Ramamrita Ranganathan. Ranganathan posited that the vast expanse of subjects mirrors a corresponding universe of knowledge, laying the groundwork for introducing KM in libraries (Ranganathan, 2011).

Further, libraries which have traditionally been seen as information repositories, face growing pressure to innovate and validate their relevance. Academic libraries, for example, are evolving from static repositories to dynamic contributors, providing real-time information to meet evolving user needs in a changing information landscape. These transformative realities underscore the diverse connection between KM and academic and corporate libraries in shaping their future. For instance, KM advocates for change and innovation, offering libraries an avenue to embrace these elements and ensuring their relevance in knowledge-driven societies. This dynamic nature positions libraries as proactive contributors in the ongoing transformation of information landscapes, ensuring they remain essential in the knowledge economy.

#### **1.1 Objectives**

This paper encompasses various aspects related to the effective utilization of KM principles in libraries within the context of modern educational settings. Thus, its specific objectives were to:

- i. assess the effect of KM practices in academic/corporate libraries,
- ii. establish user-centric approaches in KM practices in academic/corporate libraries, and

iii. provide recommendations for effective implementation of KM in academic/corporate libraries.

### **1.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The paper focused on KM in libraries, highlighting its impact on enhancing services and user satisfaction in educational settings. It prioritized empirical and case studies, emphasizing practical insights and diverse perspectives from library science, information management, and education, within the context of 21st-century advancements and evolving pedagogy.

## **2. METHODOLOGY**

This paper reviewed previous but related literature to select, analyze and synthesize pertinent literature pertinent to the subject under review. Precisely, academic databases, library catalogs, and other scholarly resources were employed to explore relevant literature. Furthermore, the utilization of keywords and search terms, combined with Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT), facilitated the comprehensive search and refinement of information essential for this paper.

## **3. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF RELATED LITERATURE**

This section introduces KM, organizing studies to align with the paper's objectives for a structured analysis. This thematic approach identifies recurring themes, gaps, and conflicting findings, enhancing clarity and facilitating comparative analysis. It aims to provide a comprehensive, nuanced exploration and contribute to academic discourse.

### **3.1 The Concept of Knowledge Management**

In the era of the knowledge-based economy, KM is essential for creating environments conducive to the effective handling of knowledge. Wang and Noe (2010) and Rauf (2016) describe knowledge as facts, information, and skills from education or experience. They further contend that explicit knowledge is codified in documents, while tacit knowledge is informal and embedded in individual expertise, and implicit knowledge exists within individuals or social relationships.

According to Polanyi and Sen (2009), Dembek et al. (2016), and Kim (2019), KM is pivotal in transforming both tacit and explicit knowledge to foster innovation and ensure the timely delivery of information to decision-makers. Knowledge management integrates people, processes, and technology, drawing from disciplines such as IT, psychology, library studies, and HR management (Reich et al., 2012; Omotayo, 2015). In a knowledge-based economy, the expertise and skills of employees are critical assets. Therefore, effectively capturing and leveraging this knowledge through KM is essential for organizations to mitigate the risks associated with knowledge loss (Sarrafzadesh et al., 2010).

### **3.2 The Knowledge Management Cycle**

Establishing strategic frameworks to manage people, processes, and technology is vital for contemporary service-oriented organizations. Dalkir (2013) and Evans et al. (2015) emphasize the importance of adopting the knowledge management cycle (KMC) within library contexts. This cycle progresses from capturing discrete facts (data) using management software to identifying emerging trends (information), deriving actionable insights (knowledge), and ultimately sharing best practices (wisdom), such as guiding users on utilizing the OPAC. This iterative approach supports ongoing knowledge generation and adaptive KM practices, crucial for navigating global competition and evolving organizational landscapes.

### **3.3 Libraries and Knowledge Management**

As guardians and distributors of cultural heritage, libraries have traditionally played a crucial role in communities, universities, organizations, and schools. However, confronted with evolving patterns of information consumption, the rapid aging of knowledge, and the dynamics of product and process innovations, libraries are compelled to adapt through collaboration and innovation (Sarrafzadesh et al. (2010). In response to these challenges, there is a growing acknowledgment of how KM can significantly

contribute to enhancing library services and user satisfaction. Daland's study (2016) points out that historically, librarians have focused on information management and providing information to users for them to transform into knowledge. However, a shift towards prioritizing the knowledge and skills of library staff and effectively managing these assets is seen as a promising approach to address future challenges.

Shanhong (2013) emphasises that, as evidence supporting the positive impact of KM on librarianship accumulates, librarians are expected to undergo a gradual paradigm shift and evolve into knowledge workers. This shift implies that librarians will increasingly need to leverage their knowledge and skills to contribute more actively to the evolving information landscape. Shanhong (2013) recommends investing in research to thoroughly understand the challenges and benefits of implementing KM in terms of enhancing library staff competencies and skills.

The relationship between a library and KM, therefore, becomes integral to the implementation of elaborate KM practices. These practices serve as conduits for delivering superior library services. Libraries that effectively integrate KM principles empower their staff with the tools and skills needed to navigate the complexities of the information age. This not only ensures that libraries remain relevant and responsive to the needs of their users but also positions librarians as key contributors to the creation, organization, and dissemination of knowledge within their communities. As libraries continue to evolve, embracing knowledge management becomes a strategic imperative for staying at the forefront of information services and meeting the diverse needs of a changing society.

### **3.4 Implications of Knowledge Management on Library Services**

The transition to a knowledge economy highlights the importance of libraries aligning their operational processes with university objectives (Wamundila and Ngulube, 2011). By implementing KM practices, libraries can enhance knowledge management and develop user-centric services to help them positively contribute to the achievement of stated institutional objectives.

#### **3.4.1 Knowledge Management Practices**

Adopting effective KM practices in libraries goes beyond process optimization; it catalyzes a paradigm shift in redefining library services. Traditionally centered around physical collections and spaces, libraries now face evolving information landscapes driven by technological advancements and changing user needs (Gui et al., 2024; Kambhampaty et al., 2024). Educational trends, such as collaborative research and group study, further underscore the demand for innovative library services.

Management theories, like goal-setting theory (Locke and Latham, 2013), emphasize setting specific goals and gathering feedback for enhanced task performance. In today's context, libraries harness feedback from sources like Google reviews and surveys to refine collection strategies, aligning book purchases with real-time user demands.

In Zambian libraries, KM practices encompass collecting, organizing, sharing, and utilizing information resources. Digitalization efforts and the adoption of library management systems and integrated library systems (ILS) like Koha are enhancing resource management. The University of Zambia (UNZA) and Copperbelt University (CBU) have implemented digital repositories to broaden access to academic resources. However, sustaining these initiatives requires robust financial support. Kanyengo (2009) highlights funding challenges affecting UNZA's library operations, impacting resource preservation and staff training. Adequate funding is crucial to equip personnel with updated IT skills and ensure perpetual accessibility of digital resources. It must be evident therefore that effective KM practices empower libraries to adapt to modern information demands, necessitating sustained financial commitments for technological advancement and resource preservation.

### **3.4.2 User-Centric Services**

KM practices have driven the development of user-centric services in libraries, emphasizing the understanding and meeting of specific user needs. This approach includes personalized services and customized information literacy programs. The utilization of social media and online platforms has further enhanced user engagement and satisfaction by providing remote access to library services and resources. Moreover, customer feedback systems are crucial in the introduction of new library services. Feedback is key in making available real-time insights into what users want and need, helping libraries tailor their services accordingly. Similarly, based on user preferences, libraries can create spaces for group discussions, gamification, and training on productivity software. For example, at CBU, the American Corner offers gamification services, which have led to successful projects such as students designing and developing drones with 3D-printed structures. These innovative services encourage library users to develop collaborative skills and work towards shared goals.

These developments resonate with the insights of North and Kumta (2018), who emphasize that KM involves creating processes to generate value from an organization's intangible assets by leveraging knowledge both internally and externally. Similar strategies have been successful in the corporate world, as demonstrated by companies like Facebook, Amazon, and eBay, which use customer feedback to improve existing services and introduce new, effective ones. By adopting these KM practices, libraries can not only enhance their service offerings but also foster a collaborative and innovative environment for their users.

## **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The 21<sup>st</sup>-century library is undergoing a significant transformation, a process accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which has redefined its role within the information landscape. This evolution extends beyond traditional collection management, positioning library employees as proactive knowledge workers. Libraries are transitioning from being mere custodians of information to becoming dynamic knowledge managers. This new role involves collaboration across disciplines and institutions, requiring closer working relationships with technologists, faculty, students, and professionals from diverse fields. This shift signifies active engagement in knowledge acquisition, analysis, and transfer, marking a departure from traditional roles towards functions such as strategic intelligence, training, consulting, and facilitating knowledge transfer within the organization. While these changes present challenges, they also offer growth opportunities. In response, some libraries are establishing innovation incubators and creative spaces to remain relevant and address evolving user needs. Developing effective KM practices is becoming a driving force for economic and social development. Libraries, along with other sectors like record centers, banking, and government agencies, must invest intentionally in KM to adapt to the contemporary economic system, where information and knowledge are crucial. By integrating KM principles, libraries position themselves to play a pivotal role in fostering knowledge creation, sharing, and application in the dynamic landscape of the twenty-first century. Given the above, it is recommended that libraries should:

- i. develop competency in library staff to ensure effective utilization of organizational knowledge by individuals and groups alike.
- ii. integrate KM practices aims to strengthen internal mechanisms, enabling staff to meet the complex information needs of modern users.
- iii. rethinking library service delivery by engaging users through interactive experiences that stimulate knowledge acquisition.
- iv. develop a comprehensive KM strategy that aligns with the institution's goals and values.
- v. cultivate a culture that encourages knowledge sharing and collaboration among staff and students.
- vi. invest in robust digital infrastructure to support the digitization of library resources and the implementation of integrated library systems is critical.

## REFERENCES

- Alavi, M. and Leidner, D.E., (2001). Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*, pp.107-136.
- Barcelo-Valenzuela, M., Sanchez-Schmitz, G., Perez-Soltero, A., Martin Rubio, F. and Palma, J., (2008). Defining the problem: Key element for the success of knowledge management. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 6(4), pp.322-333.
- Dalkir, K. (2013). *Knowledge management in theory and practice*. Routledge.
- Daland, H., (2016). Managing knowledge in academic libraries. Are we? Should we? *Liber Quarterly*, 26(1).
- Dembek, K., Singh, P. and Bhakoo, V., 2016. Literature review of shared value: a theoretical concept or a management buzzword? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137(2), pp.231-267.
- Dillon, M. (2002). Knowledge management: chimera or solution? *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 2(2), pp.321-336.
- Evans, M., Dalkir, K. and Bidian, C. (2015). A holistic view of the knowledge life cycle: the knowledge management cycle (KMC) model. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12(1), p.47.
- Firestone, J.M. and McElroy, M.W. (2012). *Key issues in the new knowledge management*. Routledge.
- Gandhi, S., 2004. Knowledge management and reference services. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 30 (5), pp.368-381.
- Gayton, J.T. (2008). Academic libraries: “Social” or “communal?” The nature and future of academic libraries. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 34(1), pp.60-66.
- Hislop, D., Bosua, R. and Helms, R. (2018). *Knowledge management in organizations: A critical introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Gui, L., Lei, H. and Le, P.B. (2024). “Fostering product and process innovation through transformational leadership and knowledge management capability: the moderating role of innovation culture”. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 27(1), pp.214-232.
- Ipe, M., (2003). Knowledge sharing in organizations: A conceptual framework. *Human resource development review*, 2(4), pp.337-359.
- Kambhampaty, J., Ortiz Solano, J.L., Strauss, H., Palaniappan, A., Bisso, S., Pinon-Fischer, O.J., Mavris, D.N., Matlik, J.F., Karl, A.H. and Dahlstrom, J., (2024). Knowledge Graphs as Models of Integrated Digital Environments. In AIAA SCITECH 2024 Forum (p. 1132).
- Kanyengo, Christine. (2009). Managing digital information resources in Africa: Preserving the integrity of scholarship. *The International Information & Library Review*. 41. 34-43.  
10.1016/j.iilr.2008.08.003.
- Kim, S.S., (2019). Exploitation of shared knowledge and creative behavior: the role of social context. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Koç, T., Kurt, K. and Akbıyık, A., (2019). A summary of knowledge management domain: 10-Year history of the Journal of Knowledge Management. *Procedia Computer Science*, 158, pp.891-898.
- Locke, E.A. and Latham, G.P. (2013). Goal setting theory: The current state. In *New developments in goal setting and task performance* (pp. 623-630). Routledge.
- Mphidi, H. and Snyman, R., (2004). The utilisation of an intranet as a knowledge management tool in academic libraries. *The electronic library*.
- Porumbeanu, O.L., (2010). Implementing knowledge management in Romanian academic libraries: identifying the elements that characterize their organizational culture. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 36(6), pp.549-552.
- Polanyi, M. and Sen, A., 2009. *The tacit dimension*. University of Chicago Press.
- Reich, B.H., Gemino, A. and Sauer, C. (2012). Knowledge management and project-based knowledge in it projects: A model and preliminary empirical result. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(6), pp.663-674.
- Roknuzzaman, M. and Umemoto, K. (2009). How library practitioners view knowledge management in

- libraries: A qualitative study. *Library Management*, 30(8-9), pp.643-656.
- Sanchez, R. ed., (2001). *Knowledge management and organizational competence* (Vol. 21). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sarrafzadeh, M., Martin, B. and Hazeri, A., (2010). Knowledge management and its potential applicability for libraries. *Library Management*.
- Shanhong, T. (2013). *Knowledge management in libraries in the 21st century* (pp. 88-93). KG Saur.
- Tang, J. and Murphy, P.J., (2012). Prior knowledge and new product and service introductions by entrepreneurial firms: the mediating role of technological innovation. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50(1), pp.41-62.
- Wang, S. and Noe, R.A. (2010). Knowledge sharing: A review and directions for future research. *Human resource management review*, 20 (2), pp.115-131.
- Wamundila, S. and Ngulube, P. (2011). “Enhancing knowledge retention in higher education: a case of the University of Zambia”. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 13(1): pp. 439–448